

Marathon Petroleum Company LP

301 St. Paul Park Road St. Paul Park, MN 55071 (651) 459-9771

Statement of Energy Performance

Refinery Energy Efficiency Performance Assessment

EPA ENERGY STAR® Program

May 4, 2020

To Whom It May Concern:

Solomon Associates (Solomon) certifies that the Marathon Petroleum Company LP Louisiana Refining Division (also known as the Garyville Refinery) has submitted to Solomon the energy consumption and process data required for the calculation of the refinery's Energy Intensity Index (Solomon-EIITM) for operating year 2019 and that the data appear consistent with reporting instructions. Solomon further certifies that the refinery's calculated Solomon-EIITM for operating year 2019 falls within the top 25 percent of refineries of similar crude capacity in the United States.

The energy consumption and process data submitted to Solomon for the Marathon Petroleum Company LP Garyville Refinery has been verified by the undersigned Professional Engineer as being accurate. "Accurate" means the submitted data are either consistent with official refinery accounting documents or that any data inconsistencies are minor and would not affect the refinery's top 25 percent ranking. The undersigned Professional Engineer is an employee of Marathon Petroleum Company LP.

Eric R. Hutchins

Vice President, Refining

HSB Solomon Associates LLC

Scott Hehr

Marathon Petroleum Company LP

Professional Engineer

License No. 76231

State Issuing License: Ohio





Professional Engineer Verification Checklist

Yes□ No□ NA 🗷

Р	lant: Garyville	
D	ate: April 2, 2020	
P	E: Scott C. Hehr, P.E.	
	eview location: Corporate Office ☐ Site Visit☐ Other ☑ Te Ams Dure If other is checked, please describe how the PE confirmed that the plant met the eligibility certification and the authenticity and completion of the data used in the EPI.	y requirements for
Pla	ant Physical Characteristics and Eligibility	
	 The plant has established a formal certification file. 	Yes⊠ No□
	· Where? CORDORATE ELECTRONSE FELE & PARIS CORD	Test NOL
	The plant's activities conform to the eligibility description in the EPI Instructions.	Yes⊠ No□
_	 These plant activities are described in the certification file. 	Yes⊠ No□
	 Relevant calculations of plant activities (e.g., space requirements) meet specified. 	Yes No
-	minimums in the EPT instructions.	TESE NOL
L	 These plant calculations supporting eligibility are documented in the certification file. 	Yes☑ No□
ъ.		1400
Pla	ant Operating Characteristics	
Ŀ	All data are confirmed to belong to the plant named in the EPI and plant certification documents.	Yes⊠ No□
•	All data are confirmed to match the same 12-month time period specified on the Statement of Energy Performance.	Yes☑ No□
Ļ	The plant's data is current (defined as within one year of the Period Ending Date on the Statement of Energy Performance).	Yes☑ No□
Ŀ	All original source data (e.g., production records) has been reviewed to assure all data were correctly transcribed into a spreadsheet, database, etc.	Yes☑ No□
	 If original source data are not available for review: Internal verification procedures have been verified by PE and are applied to any company databases used for applicable data. 	Yes□ No□ NA 🗹
Ĺ	All calculations made using the original source data have been reviewed to assure accuracy, correct conversion of units, and use of generally accepted and uniformly applied conversion factors.	Yes⊮ No□ NA□
•	Annual totals resulting from all calculations match the <i>values</i> entered into the EPI.	Yes⊠ No□
•	Annual totals resulting from all calculations match the units specified in the EPI	Yes⊠ No□
•	Inputs or calculations for other required plant physical characteristics (e.g., square footage, kilns) are consistent with source documents provided in the certification file.	Yes⊠ No□
•	All source data, calculations, and assumptions have been documented in the formal certification file. Note that referral to a company database is not adequate; the file includes a static record of data drawn from the database on the date of EPI completion (i.e., the date the EPI score and Statement of Energy Performance were produced).	Yes⊠ No□
•	specified in the EPI instructions.	Yes⊌ No□
•	Source data, calculations, and documentation for all other data types (e.g. HDD/CDD) have been reviewed and documented in the formal certification file	Yes⊠ No□ NA□
•	For cement plants, all energy data was entered using High Heating Value.	Vac D. N. D. V.
•	For <i>cement</i> plants, quarry energy data has been included.	Yes No NA
		THE I NOT INVITED



Professional Engineer Verification Checklist

Energy Data

•	All data confirmed to belong to the plant are named in the EPI and plant certification documents.	Yes⊮ No□
•	All data confirmed to match the same 12-month time period are specified on the Statement of Energy Performance.	Yes⊡ No□
•	The plant's data are current (defined as within 6 months of the Period Ending Date on the Statement of Energy Performance.	Yes⊠ No□
_	o If not, why?	
•	All original source data (e.g., utility invoices) have been reviewed to assure all data were correctly transcribed into a spreadsheet, database, etc.	Yes⊠ No□
	 If original source data are not available for review: Internal verification procedures have been verified by PE and are applied to any company databases used for applicable data. 	Yes□ No□ NA□
•	All calculations made using the original source data have been reviewed to assure accuracy, correct conversion of units, and use of generally accepted and uniformly applied conversion factors.	Yes⊠ No□
•	Annual totals resulting from all calculations match the values entered into the EPI.	Yes⊠ No□
•	All source data, calculations, and assumptions have been documented in the formal certification file. Note that referral to a company database is not adequate; the file must include a static record of data drawn from the database on the date of EPI completion (i.e., the date the EPI score and Statement of Energy Performance were produced).	Yes⊠ No□
•	Annual totals resulting from all calculations match the units specified in the EPI.	Yes⊡ No□
•	All energy sources used at the plant are included in the EPI.	Yes⊡ No□
•	All energy sources follow the energy accounting procedure specified in the EPI instructions.	Yes⊮ No□
•	Calculations for energy transfers (purchased steam, compressed air) have been reviewed for accuracy.	Yese No□ NA□

ENERGY STAR Energy Performance Score

All the required data have been entered into the EPI.	V 5/ V 5
The data have been properly entered into the EPI.	Yes☑ No□ Yes☑ No□
The plant's EPI score is 75 or higher.	Yes⊮ No□ Yes⊮ No□

PE Verification

•	The PE review of the plant has been documented (e.g., site visit vs. corporate office, date, personnel met with, findings/corrections/recommendations from the review).	Yes☑ No□
•	The PE completed the Verification Checklist for submission to EPA, and added the original document to the formal certification file.	Yes⊮ No□
	The PE signed, stamped – indicating if embossed, and provided the required license information on the Statement of Energy Performance for submission to EPA, and added the original document to the formal certification file.	Yes⊠ No□

Please note any company personnel involved in review: KELLY HEDGES

BRANDY WILLDAMSON

Please comment on any answers marked no and note any findings, corrections, or recommendations from the review:

PE Signature:

SCOTT C. HEHR
E-76231

Updated May 2019

EPA Form No. 5900-383